Preface

G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1922. 23

(Mez)

File No. 2

Serial Nos. [illegible]

Later references.

213 22 [illegible] 12-14
[illegible]
[illegible]
125-23-16
Mez-125-23-7-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Eleven

Serial Nos. [illegible]

Part B for [illegible]

SUBJECT

Passing orders on C. Barahazar Santorpan of Nov. 1922 at Subramanya in Sub-Com. on addl. advance. [illegible]

To The Multirai Comm.
Compl. to Govt. thro' [illegible]
No. 1691-2 dt 9.11.22
213-22-10
Sanctions the addl. grant of Advance of Rs 1500/-

Printed on the Multigraph.

F. R. S. 11] 1922. 23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mey)

NOTES.

File No. 213-22

FILE HEADING.

A Barahazar Santarpane of Nov. 1922 at Subramanya, in the South Canara Distt.

From

The Mysore Comr. in Mysore

C No. 317 of 22-23 Dated 20/23-10-22. Received 23-10-22.

Diary No. 1062	Enclos. /	Spare Copies.	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that an advance of Rs 4200/- may be sanctd for the Santarpane, which commences on 16.11.22 & closes on 4.12.22, subject to adjustment on presentation of bills of actual expenditure, and sanction accorded to the D.C. & Amildar proceeding to Subramanya with the specified staff.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

[illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 2/3 of 1922

Serial No.

[illegible]

2. [illegible] full examination [illegible] question by him, the [illegible] is the purpose [illegible] met from some other source [illegible] also [illegible] may be accorded [illegible]

3. Last year [illegible], the same staff [illegible] permitted [illegible]

4. Draft [illegible] be [illegible] of other orders

[illegible] 24.10.22

5. [illegible] Consulted [illegible] 24/10/22

Recent

6. How the extra expenditure over the Budget allotment is to be met has not been stated in the draft order. [illegible] the Mungai Cours proposals are passed, some source has to be found for meeting the expenditure

[illegible] 25/10/22

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT 25 OCT 22 634-22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1062

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Nuz File No. 213 of 1922

Serial No.

7. The Finance Dept can not agree to the advance in excess of the amount which represents the sanctioned grant duly provided for in the Budget. It is really not an advance; it is final expenditure and a definite source within the sanctioned Budget should be pointed out for meeting it, if the proposal to incur expenditure in excess of the present sanction is to be approved. If money can not be found by a definite reappn. expenditure should be limited to the sanctioned amt. The control over budget grants has to be rigidly exercised and the procedure of exceeding them, by permitting advances, as in this case or by deferring the question of funds by promises of later reappropriation, should be effectively prevented as grants will hereafter be voted by the Legislative Council and all questions of excess grants and supplementary estimates should go before the same authority.

Nuz

Fd 684-22

RS-10-22

Rev Sectt) Please amend 28-10-22

the Draft & submit immediately

Fd 28/10/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1052

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 213 of 1922

	Serial No.	
	9	Draft amendment in rules
		SD - 29/10/22
	10.	Final Member) U J 28/10
3580-599 / 31.10.22	11.	Draft approved
		Rec 1.11.22 12 - Issue at once U J 1/11/22
	2	To The Muzrai Commissioner " Revenue Commissioner " Comp Letter to Govt No: 1658-60 dt 1.11.22 Sanctions an advance of Rs 2,450/- for the Barahazar Santarpane subject to adjustment in due course & calls for a report from the Muz: Commr. re the excess expenditure
D. 1116	3	From the D.C. Hassan., D.O. no. 415-22/G.C. dt 2.11.22 Requests early orders in the matter of the advance for Barahazar Santarpane
	4	To the D.C. Hassan., D.O. no. 1669 dt 4.11.22 Forwards a copy of the G.O. in the matter (SC 2)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 213 of 1922

	Serial No.	
	5	From the Muz: Comr. in Mysore., letter no. C. 317 of 22-23 & 2/3-11-22. States that he has instructed the D.C. Hassan to keep down the expenditure, as far as possible, within the sanctd. allotment. S[illegible] for perusal
		[illegible] 4.11.22 [illegible] 4/11/22
D 1131	6	From the D.C. Hassan., letter no. 415-22 & 7.11.22 Reports that the Mysore Parpattegar and the manager of the Subramanyaswami temple are not on good terms; that it is feared that the manager will cause obstruction to the Santarpane arrangements; and ~~requests~~ suggests, therefore, that the Collector of S. Canara may be apprised of the situation and requested to afford the necessary help for the conduct of the santarpane successfully.
D 1136	7	From the D. C. Hassan., letter no. 415-22 & 6.11.22. Forwards a copy of his letter to the Muz: Comr. soliciting definite instructions as to whether the expenditure

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

	Serial No.	
		for the ensuing Barabazar santarpane should in no case exceed the sanctd allotment of Rs 2450/-
D 1135	8	From the D.C. Hassan., letter no. C.415-22 Dt 6.11.22 In continuation of his previous letter, forwards copy of his letter to the Mrz: Comr requesting him to move Govt to sanction an advance of Rs 4200 for the santarpane to be conducted on the usual scale and encloses a copy of the Manjarabad Taluk Amildar's report in the matter. 14. States that it is impossible at this last stage to reduce the expenditure on account of Barabazar santarpane. and, therefore, requests that the advance of Rs 4200 may be sanctd for this year at least, and that, if necessary, curtailment may be effected from next year. 15. Mr Malali Venkatramanaya's letter in this matter is also subd for orders 16 The Dy: Comr's letter about the apprehended internal [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mys File No. 2/3 of 1922

Serial No.

santarpane by the temple manager is also subd for orders.

Sd
8.11.22.

9 From the Mys: Comr., letter no. C 317 of 22-23 dt 8.11.22

Forwards copies of letters, already noted, from the D.C. Hassan with its enclosures, and states that either the number of people to be fed or the number of days during which feeding is conducted has to be curtailed; and doubts if either course can be adopted at this stage and ~~prob~~ requests immediate instructions.

17. The two communications recd direct from the D.C. Hassan have been noted above.

18. The Mys: Comr in forwarding the copies of the Dy. Comr's letters, thinks that, in order to curtail expenditure to Rs 1,00, either the number of people to be fed or the number of days on which they are fed has to be lessened, and very much doubts if either course is feasible or desirable to adopt at this stage.

19. He solicits immediate instructions in the matter, as also re the infraction

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 213 of 1922

Serial No.

of two non-offl. gentlemen to subramaniyan to help the Taluk authorities in the conduct of the santarpane.

20. As regards the first point, it may is noted for consideration that it may not be desirable or possible to restrict feeding especially at a very short notice; and therefore some ~~pro~~ source has to be found to meet the excess expenditure.

21. It is informally ascertained from the Comptr's office that out of the savings on the credit of chattrams abolished and converted into rest-houses, and also Kadur and Tarikere chattrams abolished, a sum of Rs 2000 may be appropriated for the santarpane in question. The expenditure may be restricted to Rs 4000 as reported by the Amildar, the excess of Rs 1550 over Rs 2450, being found from the above source.

22. As regards the deputation of two non-offl. gentlemen, it may, perhaps, be noted that this course was found very ~~to~~ useful last year with by the Dy. Comr and the then Mus Supdt (the present Rev: Secretary). The D.C. ~~does~~ has not solicited sanction on this point in the letters [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 213 of 1922

Serial No.

disposal and it is not known whether the Muz Comr has recd a separate communication on the subject from the D.C.

13. Subd for orders on the 2 points noted above

SD
9.11.22

It is now ascertained that a sum of Rs 2000 can be set from the savings effected out of Cuttram funds. A sum of Rs 1550 may be sanctioned now (in addition to Rs 2450 already sanctioned)

The deputation of Messrs Marari Venkataramana & H. Thimmappaya to Subrahmanya may be sanctioned. They rendered very valuable service last year.

First member) H.J. 9/11

The Dy Commr apprehends that the manager of the temple may give trouble to the Wave officers but I think that this is not sufficiently important to be made the ~~Comm~~ subject of a communication to the Residency. Further there is no time to adopt any such procedure H.J. 9/11/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

9.7.0.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.		File No. of 19
3858-253 9.11.22	Serial No.	Another sum of Rs 1500/- may had headvanced, the source being the savings which have accrued in consequence of the closing of certain Chukkums &c. I think there is ~~[illegible]~~ force in the view of the M.C. that dep. of non-officials is adquite called for. But in view of the personal experience of the Rev Grey last year I have no objection to the dep. of one non-official gentleman. It may be Mr. [illegible]

[illegible]
9.11.22

If it is to be only one member, I would prefer Mr Thummappaja being [illegible]
First member

[illegible] 9/11/22

It is best that no non-official is deputed

[illegible]
9.11.22

[illegible] 9/11/22

Draft order [illegible]
[illegible] 9.11.22 [illegible] Chetain [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 9/11/22

No remarks
[illegible]
9.11.22

[illegible] 9.11.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MWZ File No. 213 of 1922

Serial No.

10 No. 1691-2 dt 9.11.22 to the Mun Commn. of the Comptr

Sanctions an advl. advance of Rs 1,500 making a total advance of Rs 3,950; and states that it is not necessary to depute any non-offl. gentleman to Subramanya to help the T.Q. authorities in the Conduct on the Sunday [illegible]

Draft and fair communicating the order subd. vide financial Secy's concurrence on the previous page

[illegible] 10/11 [illegible] 10/11

[illegible] 10/11/22

To Comptroller
No FL 2891
9/10/11/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

C No. 317 of 22-23 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Enc one.

Dated Bangalore, 20th October 1922.

1069
22-23
Muz 2/3-22-1

From

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire., M.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.T.C. 89/22-23 dated 7th October 1922, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, soliciting sanction to an advance of --- Rs.4,200/- in connection with the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane and to proceed to Subrahmanya in the Uppinangadi Taluk with the ---- Amildar of the Manjarabad Taluk and the marginally noted establishment to supervise the feeding in the temple and Sri ---- Sampushta Narasimhaswami Matha.

2 clerks of the Deputy Commissioner's office	
4 peons " "	
2 Taluk gumastas	
4 peons of the Taluk office.	

2. The budgetted gtants for feeding at the three different centres aggregate Rs.2450-0-0 and the Deputy Commissioner wants a sum of Rs.1750-0-0 in excess of the fixed allotments as advance, apparently basing his estimate on the expenditure incurred on similar occasions in previous years and also an account of the continued rise in the price of provisions etc.

Subrahmanya	2000-0-0
Bisle	350 0 0
Sakhlaspur	100 0 0

All the savings available at the credit of the Barahazar Santarpane and Sri Rama Devaru chattram in Bisle ghat having been utilized to meet the excess expenditure in previous years and for the repairs of the chattram building, there is absolutely no ----

no amount at the credit of these institutions. In the circumst it has to be decided whether the feeding during the santarpane should be limited to the sanctioned allotment of Rs.2000/- or if it should be conducted as hitherto without placing any restrictions on the number to be fed. I think that it will be against the traditions of the -- Mysore Charities to place any restrictions on the number to be fed on the occasions with a view to keep the expenditure up to the sanctioned allotment. I would therefore suggest that the feeding may be allowed to be conducted as usual, the extra amount required for the purpose -- being met from some other source. As regards the exact source from -- which it will have to be met , I am not in a position to express any opinion now as I have assumed charge of the office only recently. I am studying the question of financing this and similar institutions such as Tirupati etc., for which also the present sanctioned allotments are found inadequate and I shall submit my proposals in the matter -- at an early date.

3. Sanction may also be accorded to the Deputy Commissioner to --- proceed to Subrahmanya with the Amildar and the staff mentioned by him.

4. Early orders are requested as the festival is reported to begin on 16th November 1922.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.T.C.89 of 22-23 dated 7th October 1922 from the -- Deputy Commissioner Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

- - - - - - - - -

I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Manjarabad Taluk in his report No.18 states that the Champashashti festival -- at Subrahmanya in the South Canara District commences from the 16th November 1922 and lasts for about 18 days till the 4th December 1922 and has applied for an advance of Rs.4200/- to incur expenditure in connection with the Barahazar Santharpanay that has to be performed as usual each year on the occasion. As it is reported that the contract for the supply of provisions has to be sold by the middle of this month, to allow sufficient time to the contractor to enable him to collect and stock the necessary provisions at Subrahmanya before the 15th November 1922, I have requested the Sub Division -- Officer of Saklespur to call for tenders immediately and I request that early sanction may be accorded for the amount of advance applied for which will be adjusted in due course.

In this connection, I further request that kind permission -- may be accorded, as in previous years, for the Amildar of the ---- Manjarabad Taluk and myself to proceed with the necessary clerical and menial establishment to Subrahmanya to be present on the ---- occasion."

" C o p y "

Muzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore.

- - - -

Read --

Letter No.C.317 of 22-23 dated 20/23rd October 1922 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore forwarding copy of letter No.T.C.89 of 22-23 dated 7th October 1922 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan, stating that the Champa Shasti festival at Subramanya in the South Canara District, commences from the 16th November 1922 and lasts till the 4th December 1922 and recommending that the advance of Rs.4200/- Rs.1750 in excess of the allotment of Rs.2,450/-, applied for by the Deputy Commissioner for Barahazar Santarpane at Subramanya and for feeding at Bisle and Saklespur, may be sanctioned and permission accorded to the Deouty Commissioner and the Amildar of Saklespur to proceed to Subramanya with the marginally noted staff, for the supervision and management of the Santarpane.

2 Clerks of the Deputy Commissioner's office
4 Peons do.
2 Taluk Gumastas
4 do. peons.

Order -- No. 1658-60
Muz 213-22-2

Dated Bangalore, 1st Nov 22

The Muzrai Commissioner states that all the Savings available at the credit of the Barahazar Santarpane and Sri Rama Devaru Chattram at Bisle having been utilized to meet the excess expenditure in previous years and for the repairs of the chattram building, there is absolutely no amount at the credit of the institutions to meet the excess expendi

-ture,

expenditure; that the santarpane has to be allowed to be conducted on the usual scale; that he is studying the question of financing this and similar institutions such as Tirupati etc., for which also the present sanctioned allotments are found inadequate, and that he ~~would~~ will submit his proposals in the matter at an early date.

Government observe that if the proposal to incur expenditure in excess of the present allotment is to be sanctioned, a definite source within the sanctioned Budget should be pointed out for meeting it and if money cannot be found by a definite reappropriation, expenditure should be limited to the sanctioned amount. Government, therefore, direct that an advance of only Rs.2,450/- for the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane (inclusive of the feeding at the chattrams at Bisle and Saklespur) be sanctioned subject to adjustment on the submission, after the santarpane, of bills for the actual expenditure.

The Deputy Commissioner and the Amildar of the Manjarabad Taluk are permitted to proceed to Subramanya, with the clerical and menial establishments referred to in the letter read above.

28/10/2

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Muz. Commissioner
The Rev.Commissioner
The Comptroller to Govt.
Copy to the Rev.Branch for information.

Sl no. 3

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,

No. 415-22/O.C.

Dated, Hassan, the 2nd November 1922.

GEN. & REV. SECRET. 1116 22-23

Dear Sir,

The Muzrai Commissioner informs me in his letter No. C. 317/22-23 dated 28th October 1922 that a recommendation for the sanction of an advance of Rs 4200/- for expenditure in connection with the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane at Subramanya, has been sent up to Government. The Champashasti festival at Subramanya commences from the 16th November 1922 and there is hardly 15 days' time for the contractor to purchase food stuffs and stock them at Subramanya. As the contractor has to be advanced the amount already applied for immediately, I request you to kindly obtain the orders of Government early.

2. An early reply in the matter is requested.

Yours sincerely,

K Raja

To

T. E. Jeyarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sl no 4

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1116

Section Muz

File No. 213 - 22

Serial No. X.

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

, the 192 .

No. 1669./Encl.

Date of issue 4-11-22

Signed by [illegible]

To

Dear Sir,

[illegible]

[illegible] No. 415-22 [illegible] 22 [illegible]

for [illegible] Sintarpane,

I am desired to forward here

with a copy of G.O. [illegible]

[illegible] 213-22-[illegible]

[illegible] subject sanctg an advance of

Rs 2450/- for the purpose

[illegible]

4/11/22

[illegible]

over.

21339

Sl. no. 5

C No.317 F22-23 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 2nd November 1922.

From

K. R. Srinivasaiengar, Esquire., M.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His.Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.1658-60/M.F.213-23-2 dated 1st November 1922 sanctioning an advance of Rs.2450/- for the ensuing Parahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya (inclusive of the feedings expenses at Bisle and Sakalespur) I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, has been instructed to keep down the expenditure as far as possible within the sanctioned allotment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

216..

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Sl. no. 6

Hassan District,

No. 415-22 O.C. Gone - Dated, Hassan, the 7th November 1922.

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 1131 MUZRAI 22-23

GEN. & REV. SE 8 - NOV 1922

T.E. Jeyarama Iyer, Esq., B.A, B.L.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In connection with the Bara Hazar Santarpane that is being conducted by the Mysore Government at Subramhanya in the Uppinangadi Taluk, South Canara District, during the Champa Shashti Festival, I have the honor to forward herwith in original the report of the Mysore Parpathegar at Subramhanya received to day through the Sub Division Officer Saklespur stating that the Manager of the temple is likely to interfere with the arrangements that may be made for the conduct of the Santarpane and cause a breach of the peace on the occasion.

2. The Mysore Parpathegar and the Manager of the Temple are, it is reported, not on good terms and onaccount of certain action recently taken against the manager, it is not unlikely that he may interfere with the santarpane arrangements with a view to bring discredit to the officers of the Mysore Government. All the temple servants are the subordinates of the manager who is the chief controlling authority in the institution and the officers of the Mysore Government have no control over him.

3. In the circumstances, it seems desirable to keep the Collector of the South Kanara District informed of the apprehension of the parapategar and also tosecure the help of the local officers there, for affording us the necessary facililities for performing the santarpane and I would request

request you therefore to take such action as may be necessary for the purpose.

4. I have also instructed the Amildar of Manjarabad to see as far as possible that nothing untoward takes place on the occasion and that the santarpane is satisfactorily conducted.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Krishnarao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Immediate

No 90 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 22-10-22 [illegible] R No. 47 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Immediate

D. DUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
HASSAN DISTRICT
Dated 6-11-22
Amad No.
C. No. 415-22
Section

[illegible] 3.11.22.

Amildar

Submitted to the Dy Comm Hassan for information.

[illegible]

From
62
Mrs. 3/11/71

R.NO 47

మా.గా.రా మంత్రిగారికి నా మర్యాదలు

గౌరవనీయులైన

దక్షిణారణ్యాలలో ఉన్నటువంటి కొన్ని పర్వతాలు, వాటి వ్యవస్థలూ వారు తప్పకుండా రక్షించవలసి ఉంటుందని తెలియజేస్తున్నాను

I గత వారం రోజులుగా అక్కడక్కడ గోదావరి నదిని నిర్మించే విషయం గురించి వివరించాను. ఈ పథకం వల్ల మంచి జరుగుతుందని అందరూ అనుకుంటున్నారు. కేంద్ర ప్రభుత్వం కూడా దీనికి సహకరించి, ఆర్థిక సహాయం అందిస్తోంది. ఇలాంటి పథకాలు ఎక్కడ ఉన్నా, అవి ఎలా పనిచేస్తున్నాయి అనే విషయం తెలుసుకోవడం మంచిది.

II అన్ని సంరక్షణ చర్యలూ తీసుకున్నప్పటికీ కాలక్రమేణ అడవి అంతరించిపోతుంది. ఇప్పటికే అడవి పనిచేయడం మొదలుపెట్టింది. అడవి అనేది ఒక్కరోజులో పెరిగేది కాదు. దీనికి చాలా సంవత్సరాలు పడుతుంది. ఎవరూ ఏ పనీ చేయకపోతే అడవి అంతరించిపోతుంది. ఇలా జరగకుండా ఉండాలంటే ఎవరికి వారు తమ బాధ్యత గుర్తెరిగి ప్రవర్తించాలి.

III నేను చెప్పిన విషయాలు మీకు అర్థమయ్యాయి అనుకుంటాను. ఇప్పటికే ఎన్నో పథకాలు అమలు జరుగుతున్నాయి. అవి అన్నీ ఒక్కటే అని అనుకోకూడదు. అవి అన్నీ వేరువేరు అని గుర్తించాలి.

IV ఇలాంటి పథకాలు అమలు జరిగినప్పుడు ఆ ప్రాంతాలలో నివసించే ప్రజలు తమ జీవితాలు మెరుగుపడతాయని అనుకుంటారు. అలా జరగకపోతే వారు నిరాశ చెందుతారు.

V అక్కడక్కడ కొన్ని నివేదికలు వచ్చాయి. అవి ఏమిటంటే ఇప్పటివరకు ఇక్కడ ఎన్ని ఎకరాలు అడవి నరికివేయబడ్డాయి అనే విషయం తెలియజేస్తున్నాయి. ఇలా జరగకుండా మీరు చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలి.

చివరగా మనం కష్టపడి పనిచేస్తే మన మాతృభూమిని మనం కాపాడుకోగలుగుతాం. 12,000 మంది ప్రజలు ఇప్పటికే పనిచేస్తున్నారు. వారి పని మంచి కలిగించేదిగా ఉన్నట్లయితే వారు ఇంకా

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 22 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible signature]

[illegible] Mysore Gov-
ernment Charities in [illegible]
22-10-[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]3||22.   [illegible signature]

Sl. no. 7

Immediate

of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

415-12

No. 317-22/O.C. Dated, Hassan, the 6th November 1922.

2165

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

R - NOV 1922

To

T. E. Jeyarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government a copy of my letter No. 317-22/O.C. dated 6th November 1922 to the Muzrai Commissioner soliciting definite instructions as to whether the expenditure for the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane should in no case exceed the sanctioned allotment of Rs 2450/-.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K Mylar Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

No.317-23/O.C. Dated, Hassan, the 6th November

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., [illegible],

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To

[illegible] Srinivasiengar Esq., [illegible],

Muzrai Commissioner [illegible],

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. [illegible] dated the [illegible] instant forwarding a copy of Government order No. [illegible] dated the 1st instant sanctioning an advance of [illegible] for the ensuing Parahazar Santhrpane [illegible] that the expenditure may be kept down as far as possible within the sanctioned allotment, I have the honor to state that the offer to supply of provisions for the [illegible] was sold by public auction and the lowest amount offered was [illegible]. In addition to this amount a sum of [illegible] is required for other expenses to be incurred in connection with the [illegible]. Every endeavour will be made to keep down the expenditure as far as possible and to see that no [illegible] of provisions is committed. It does not seem that it would be possible to conduct the [illegible] on the usual scale with the allotment of [illegible] now sanctioned. It is [illegible] necessary for me now to reiterate the recommendations made by my predecessor and Mr. [illegible] Ayyar [illegible], the [illegible] who after personal inspection have expressed the opinion that an allotment of [illegible] should be sanctioned for the Parahazar [illegible].

[illegible] From the Government order referred to above, I understand that, in case a definite source within the sanctioned budget is pointed out, the excess expenditure incurred over and above the sanctioned allotment of [illegible] would be sanctioned. [illegible] the

the first para of the Government Order, I observe also made a reco mondation to Government to allow the to be conducted on the usual scale and stated that you are studying the uo tion of financing the expenditure.

3. Under the above circumst nces, I request that definite instructions as to whether the expenditure for the Barabazar ant rpane should in no case exceed the sanctioned allotment of - ay kindly be i sued in the matter.

4. As the provisions shum have to leave Sakleapur on the 12th in tant, an early reply in the matter is requested.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient ser ant,

Sd/- K. Mylar Rao
Deputy Com issioner,
Hassan District.

==========

" C O P Y "

K Mylar Rao
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Immediate

No. C 4/15-22

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated, Hassan, the 6th November 1922.

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

T.E. Jeyarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 317-22 of to day's date forwarding for the information of Government a copy of my letter to the Muzrai Commissioner, soliciting definite instructions in the matter of incurring expenditure for the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of a second letter addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner, requesting him to move Government to sanction an advance of Rs 4200/- and to allow the santarpane to be conducted on the usual scale. A copy of the Manjarabad Taluk Amildar's report is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

K Mylar Rao
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

AS/6-11.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

No. Dated, Hassan, the 6th November 1922.

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 317-22 dated 6th November 1922 soliciting definite instructions as to whether the expenditure of the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane should in no case exceed the sanctioned Budget allotment of R2400/-, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Manjarabad Taluk Amildar report dated the 5th instant received just now from which it will be seen that an amount of R4200/- is absolutely necessary this year also for conducting the santarpane on the usual scale i.e. for 20 days as per time honoured custom. The Amildar reports that the cost of feeding for 5 days commencing from Margasira Shudda Chaturti and ending with Astami day alone, comes up to R2400/- excluding the cost of feeding in the Bisle and Saklespur chattrams, that as the arrangements are already advanced, no departure from the existing Samool would be possible as the [illegible] and temple authorities on whom the entire cost of feeding on other days will fall, will have reason to complain that they could not anticipate all this and that any new scheme might be brought into effect from the next year giving sufficient notice to all people concerned.

2. As no definite orders of Government, on the recommendations made by my predecessor and Mr. T.E. Jeyarama Iyer about the allotment for the expenditure for the Barahazar Santarpane were received, necessary arrangements were made as usual for getting the

the supply of provisions to conduct the santarpane on the usual scale. Only a sum of Rs 2450/- is now sanctioned and from the enclosed statement, it may be seen that the sanctioned amount is insufficient even to conduct the santarpane on five days. As a departure from the existing mamool at this late hour is likely to create an embarassing situation in regard to our santarpane, I think that any new scheme contemplated might be brought into effect from the next year.

3. Under the above circumstances, I request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for conducting the santarpane on the usual scale this year also and for incurring an expenditure of Rs 4200/- in this behalf.

4. I solicit immediate orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- K. Mylar Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

****

'C O P Y'

K Mylar Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

No. A. Saklespur, 5th November 1922.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Through

The Sub Division Officer, Saklespur.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Government Orders sanctioning an advance of Rs. 3450/- for the ensuing Barthazar Santarpane at Subramanya and directing that the expenditure should be limited to this sum, I beg to state as follows.-

In the Government Order, it is stated that if the excess over the sum now sanctioned cannot be found by re-appropriation, the excess expenditure cannot be sanctioned. But in the Deputy Commissioner's orders conveying the said Government Order, it is imperative that the sanctioned amount should not be exceeded.

The estimates for this year were drawn up in accordance with the scales of previous years and tenders for the supply of the usual quantities were called for, in accordance with the Deputy Commissioner's Order dated 7th October 1922, and the sale confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner. The merchant has already collected the provisions to the value of about Rs. 3000/- and has now been cleaning them and the things will have to leave Saklespur on the 10th instant at the latest. The order restricting the expenditure now comes as a great handicap. The effect of ~~breaking~~ backing out of the contract with the merchant at this stage will have to be considered

Secondly it is not indicated in what way the expenditure could be curtailed. For years past, the Government have been committed to an unrestricted santarpane of 20 days. I beg to invite kind attention to the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. B.Venkoba Rao's) letter No. C.2109 dated 13-11-1921 to the Secretary to Government in which he has at great length argued what it would mean to restrict expenditure at a state like this. In his letter No. R.O.C.484 of 19-20 dated 1[illegible]-5-1921 he had expressed that at least Rs. 4500/- would be required for the whole samaradhane (at Subramanya and Bisle, etc.,) Last year we were able to manage with Rs. 4188/-

Thinnxxxx

This year we asked for the usual Rs. 5200/- but judging from the savings of Rs. 150/- we have effected in the purchase of the provisions we are not likely to go beyond Rs. 4000/-

In his note dated the 5th April 1931, the Muzrai Superintendent, Mr. T.E.Jayarama Iyer who visited Subramanya last year has considered various measures to restrict the expenditure but given up every one of them as not possible. At the same time, he has expressed that last year's santarpane was done on the most economical lines and it cost us Rs. 4188/-.

I feel greatly at a loss to decide how to manage with such a sum as Rs. 2450/- for the 3 places. Roughly Rs. 450/- would go to Bisle and Saklespur and only Rs. 2000/- would be available for Subramanya.

The only way of reducing the expenditure is to restrict the number of days fed. The santarpane begins from [illegible] and lasts till [illegible] i.e. 20 days in all. The santarpane is heviest on [illegible] and on a day on either side. I have made calculations and found the cost of provisions required for these 5 days according to this year's rates amounts to roughly Rs. 1700/- and to this should be added Rs. 400/- for other expenses like payment for service, vegetables, etc., and Rs. 300/- for sandal wood and other expenses. Thus the cost for these 5 days alone would come to Rs. 2400/- for Subramanya alone. Or if the feeding is restricted to [illegible] when people congregate for the the expense would be almost the same. In any case, a departure will have to be made from a long standing practice and apart from the delicacy of this measure, the Matt and Temple authorities on whom the entire cost of feeding on other days will fall will have reason to complain that they could not anticipate all this and are sure to create an embarassing situation in regard to our Santarpane. The troubles there are already too numerous to mention and the reduction of the Samaradhane might create almost a deadlock.

Another alternative would be to distribute between the Matt and the Temple the provisions for Rs. 2000.- and leave them to manage as best as they could. But both those institutions are

not likely to agree to such an arrangement and might create an imposse not much dignified to the State.

I beg to submit that at this late hour no departure from the existing mamool would be possible as we are already committed ourselves to the usual scale. Any new scheme might be brought into effect from the next year giving sufficient notice to all people concerned.- the temple and Matt on the one side and to the public in general on the other so that both would know exactly what they themselves have to do. The long expected orders of Government as a result of Mr.Jayarama Iyer's note have not come and I would humbly suggest that you will kindly move the Muzrai Commissioner and the Government to allow the usual -- expenditure for this year.

In the alternative, I beg that clear instructions may kindly be issued as to the manner in which reductions ought to be effected.

If you think that my presence at Hassan to explain the difficulties personally would help you, I shall run up to Hassan as soon as I hear from you.

I have etc.,
Sd/- R.Thammaji Rao,
Amildar. Manjarabad.

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

The santarpane on the usual scale has to begin in a few days i.e. from the 16th instant. Neither the public nor the temple and Matt authorities have beem apprised of any change in the scale of the santarpane till now. We are bound by accepting the contract of the purchaser at the public auction sale to pay for the quantity of provisions agreed to be purchased and the contractor has already collected provisions to the value of Rs. 3000/- on our assurance. Under these circum-stances I think it is too late to think of curtailing the usual scale this year and I request that the Deputy Commissioner may be so good as to bring the present condition of affairs to the kind notice of Government and the Muzrai Commissioner and to obtain sanction for the usual amount of Rs. 4300/- for this year at least. From next year, the expenses may be curtailed by giving timely intimation to the temple and Matt Authorities. The Amildar may be authorised to draw an advance of Rs. 4000/- and pay the contractor who has collected the articles and is pressing for payment to be adjusted by bills which will be submitted

in due course. Going back at this stage by curtailing to nearly half of the usual scale would lead to a deadlock t temple and Matt and result in great trouble and inconvenience to the pilgrims resorting to the place trusting to the continuance of the Mysore Government Charities and may also lead to legal complications with the contractor.

As there is no time to lose, telegraphic orders may if possible be obtained to conduct the Santarpane on the usual -- scale restricting expenditure to Rs. 4000/- as suggested by the Amildar.

Sd/- G. Narayana Rao,
S.D.O. 6-11-22.

" C O P Y "

K Krishna Rao
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Sl.no. 9

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, the 8th November 1922.
9th

From

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., L.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1138
MUZRAI
22-23

To

The Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.1658-60/M.F. 213-12-2 dated 1st November 1922, sanctioning an advance of Rs.2450/- for the ensuing Tarahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya (inclusive of the feeding expenses at Bisle and Sakalespur), I have the honor to forward herewith copies of letters Nos.O.C.415-22 dated 6th November 1922 together with their enclosures received from the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District stating that arrangements have far advanced, that it is too late to make any departure from the long established mamool and that any changes in the existing state of things might be brought into effect from the next year and requesting that for conducting the santarpane at the three centres on the usual scale this year also the advance of Rs.4,200/- already applied for may be sanctioned.

2. In submitting the above communications for the orders of Government, I beg to add that if the expenditure is to be restricted to the amount of advance already sanctioned by Government, either the number of people to be fed on the occasion or the number of days during which feeding is conducted has to be reduced and I very much doubt if it would be possible or desirable to adopt either of the above courses at this stage.

3. As the provisions have to be conveyed to Subrahmanya on or before the 12th instant, I solicit immediate instructions in the

the matter so as to enable me to send telegraphic intimation to the Deputy Commissioner.

4. The Deputy Commissioner has also asked the sanction of Government for the deputation of two non-official gentlemen, viz., Messrs. . Venkataramaiya and H. Thimmappaiya to Subrahmanya as in previous years to help the Taluk authorities in arranging the various arrangements of the Paranazar Santarpane, etc., the cart-hire payable to them being charged to the expenses of the Santarpane. I do not quite see the necessity for ~~the Deputy Commissioner taking~~ non-officials being taken at Government expense for supervising the Santarpane arrangements. I submit the matter, however, for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

Letter No.415-22 O.C. dated 6th November 1922, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in [illegible].

"In continuation of my letter No.317-22 O.C. dated 6th November 1922 soliciting definite instructions as to whether the expenditure of the ensuing Parahazar Santarpane should in no case exceed the sanctioned Budget allotment of Rs.2450/-, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Manjarabad Thiruk Amildar's report dated the 5th instant received just now from which it will be seen that an amount of Rs.4200 is absolutely necessary this year also for conducting the santarpane on the usual scale, i.e., for 30 days as per time-honoured custom. The Amildar reports that the cost of feeding for 5 days commencing from Margasira Shudda Chaturti and ending with Astami day alone, comes up to Rs.3400/- excluding the cost of feeding in the Bisle and Sakalespur chattrams, that as the arrangements are already advanced, no departure from the existing mamool would be possible as the Matt and temple authorities on whom the entire cost of feeding on other days will fall, will have reason to complain that they could not anticipate all this and that any new scheme might be brought into effect from the next year giving sufficient notice to all people concerned.

2. As no definite orders of Government, on the recommendations made by my predecessor and Mr.T.E. Jayarama Iyer about the allotment for the expenditure for the Parahazar Santarpane were received, necessary arrangements were made as usual for getting the supply of provisions to conduct the santarpane on the usual scale. Only a sum of Rs.2450/- is now sanctioned and from the enclosed statement, it may be seen that the sanctioned amount is insufficient even to conduct the santarpane on five days. As a departure from the existing mamool at this late hour is likely to create an embarrassing situation in regard to our santarpane, I think that any new scheme contemplated might be brought into effect from the next year.

3. Under the above circumstances, I request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for conducting the santarpane of the usual scale this year also and for incurring an expenditure of Rs.4200/- in this behalf.

4. I solicit immediate orders in the matter."

"Copy". [signature]

Letter No.415-22/O.C.dated 6th November 1922, from theDeputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.317-22 dated the 2nd instant forwarding a copy of Government Order No.1658-60/Muz.213-22-2 dated the 1st instant sanctioning an advance of Rs.2450/- for the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane and requesting that the expenditure may be kept down as far as possible within the sanctioned allotment, I have the honor to state that the offer to supply of provisions for the santarpane was sold by public auction and the lowest amount offered was Rs.3250/-. In addition to this amount a sum of Rs.950/- is required for other expenses to be incurred in connection with the santarpane. Every endeavour will be made to keep down the expenditure as far as possible and to see that no wastage of provisions is committed. It does not seem that it would be -- possible to conduct the santarpane on the usual scale with the allotment of Rs.2450/- now sanctioned. It is hardly necessary for me now to reiterate the recommendations made by my predecessor and Mr.T.E.Jayarama Iyer, the then Muzrai Superintendent who after personal inspection have expressed the opinion that an allotment of Rs.4000/- should be sanctioned for the Barahazar Santarpane.

2. From theGovernment Order referred to above, I understand that, in case a definite source within the sanctioned budget is pointed out, the excess expenditure incurred over and above the sanctioned allotment of Rs.2450/- would be sanctioned. From the first para of the Government Order, I observe that you have also made a recommendation to Government to allow the santarpane to be conducted on the usual scale and stated that you are studying the question of financing the expenditure.

3. Under the above circumstances, I request that definite instructions as to whether the expenditure for the Baramazar Santarpane should in no case exceed the sanctioned allotment of Rs.2450/- may kindly be issued in the matter.

4. As the provisions have to leave Saklespur on the 12th instant, an early reply in the matter is requested."

"Copy".

For Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... 1135 .....

Section 213-22

File No.

Serial No. 10

General and Revenue Secretariat

(May)

, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 9/11/22

Signed by Mr. V. E. J. Aiyar

Read— G.O. No. 1658-60/Mus 213 22 2 & 1.11 22 sanctioning an advance of Rs 2450/- to the ensuing Barahazar Santarpane at Subramanya in the South Canara Distt (inclusive of the feeding at the chattrams at Bisle and Sakleshpur).

2) Letter No. C. 317 of 22 23 & 9th Nov 1922 from the Mysore Comr in Mysore forwarding copies of the letters Nos C.C. 1522 & 6.6.22 from the D.C. Comr, Hassan Distt, requesting that an advance of Rs 4700 already applied

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Order Thereof No

Ord. 4
7/11

may be sanctioned, as it is not possible to conduct the santarpane on the usual scale out of the advance sanctioned, and ~~stating~~ ~~adding~~ stating that it is neither possible nor desirable to reduce the number of people to be fed on the occasion or the number of days during which feeding should be conducted; and soliciting immediate instructions in the matter, as also in the matter of the deputation of two non-official gentlemen to Subramanya, as in the previous years, to help the Taluk authorities in the conduct of the santarpane -

List of enclosures.

Order:- 1691-2 / Mur. 213-22-10 D 9-11-22.
Enclos 2

In the circumstances represented, Govt. are pleased to ~~direct that~~ sanction an additional advance of Rs 1500, the charge being met out of the savings, which have accrued in consequence of the

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the closing of certain chattrams in the State.

2. A bill for actual expenditure incurred will be subd in due course, in adjustment of the total advance of Rs 3950 sanctd.

3. Govt agree with the Mysore Comr that it is not necessary to depute any non-official gentleman to Subramanya to help the Taluk authorities in the conduct of the Santarpane.

[signature]
Secretary
Rev: Deptt

SD
9.11.22

To

The Mys: Comr in Mysore
Returning enclosure
Comptr to Govt through
the Financial Deptt.

WL 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23 7 20

21568

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
1125
22-23

To.
The Revenue ~~Commissioner~~ Secretary
Mysore Govt.
Bangalore.

ST 7 - NOV 1922

From
Mr. Malaly Venkatramaiya
Saklespur

Sir,

I beg to state that I come to understand that a sum of Rs 2450/-- is sanctioned recently for the expenditure to be incurred at Sree Subramanya.

In public auction, one of the local merchants bid for Rs 3200/- and the auction was sanctioned. The merchant has collected all the food-stuffs and got them cleaned ready for delivery

I may be permitted to bring to your kind notice that the Maranahally Chatram has been wound up since three years and the funds thereof are available for the use of this purpose

It is stated that the funds of Bisle Chatram have run out but here I venture to state that when there were large surpluses in this fund, many grants have been made

~~that~~

Out of this to other Muzerai Institutions of the State. Now that pecuniary difficulty arises here, Surpluses of funds of those institutions for which grants were given may be made use of at present.

Above all, at this last moment to adjust the expenditure in the narrow limit of Rs 2450/- is a difficult task. Pilgrims in the wild jungles of Bisle have to fast. At Subramanya, neither the temple nor the mutt authorities are informed of the Cutting down of the period. People from all parts of Southern India flock to the holy place entirely dependant upon the gracious benevolance of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore. The period of "Santarpana" may be Cut Short, quality of rice used may be changed and the expenditure Curtailed, not at this trying moment but when provision will be made next year.

Under [illegible]

[illegible]